

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/002,713	11/01/2001	Michael E. Ichiriu	N1-P107	3008
75	90 06/01/2005		EXAM	INER
Shemwell & Gregory LLP			KERVEROS, JAMES C	
4880 Stevens Creek Blvd., Suite 201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Jose, CA 95129			2133	
	•		DATE MAILED: 06/01/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/002,713	ICHIRIU ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
JAMES C. KERVEROS	2133

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO po	ersonnel):			
(1) JAMES C. KERVEROS.	(3)			
(2) <u>Charles E. Shemwell</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: 24 May 2005.				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)	applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes end of Yes, brief description: <u>N/A</u> .	e) 🗌 No.			
Claim(s) discussed: 1.				
Identification of prior art discussed: Oh et al. (U.S. Patent 6,6	<u>625,766)</u> .			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)	□ was not reached. h)⊠ N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .				
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendmallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no coallowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	py of the amendments that would render the claims			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE AC				

INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's

Application No. 10/002,713

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

During the interview, Charles E. Shemwell, Attorney for Applicant, explained the claimed invention, especially with respect to the claimed limitation of a second counter, as applied to the prior art by Oh et al. (U.S. Patent 6,625,766), under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejections. Mr. Shemwell indicated that the prior art by Oh fails to disclose a second counter, and furthermore, it would not have been obvious to incorporate a second counter in the Oh's reference. Examiner Kerveros agreed to withdraw the Finality of the Office Action in a follow up continued examination, RCE.

Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314 Tel: (571) 272-3824, Fax: (571) 272-3824

Email: james.kerveros@uspto.gov

Date: 24 May 2005

Office Action: Interview Summary.